



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/824,926 | 04/14/2004 | Hideharu Tajima | 61,144 (70904) | 7906 |

7590 06/25/2008
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, MA 02205

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

SHEN, KEZHEN

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2627

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

06/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/824,926 | Applicant(s) TAJIMA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kezhen Shen | Art Unit 2627 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) examiner: Kezhen Shen. (3) Mr. David Tucker.

(2) Primary Examiner: Tan Dinh. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tominaga et al. 5,569,517.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In discussion was the USC 112 rejection regarding the reproducing layer for transmitting optical signals and the relevance of prior art Tominaga et al. in rejecting independent claims 1 and 17. A final decision will be made after receives an Official response from applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/TAN Xuan DINH/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required